

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/524,363	Applicant(s) TOKELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Patrick F. O'Reilly III	Art Unit 3749	

All Participants:

(1) Patrick F. O'Reilly III.

(2) Sumner C. Rosenberg, Attorney for Applicants.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2010

Status of Application: Finally Rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:45 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Sole independent claim 1.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Patrick F. O'Reilly III/
Examiner, Art Unit 3749

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner discussed minor substantive revisions in sole independent claim 1 with the applicants' attorney so as to more clearly define the claimed invention over the prior art. However, the applicants' attorney was unable to discuss the proposed changes with his client prior to the Examiner's deadline for sending the next Office Action in this case. Consequently, the applicants' attorney advised the Examiner to proceed with his next Office Action. During their telephone conversation, the Examiner informed the applicants' attorney that he would include a copy of the proposed changes that were discussed in the Office Action so that they could be considered by the Applicants in any future amendments. The specific proposed changes are documented in the Claim Suggestions section of the attached Office Action.